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This article [J. Biomed. Opt. 17(6), 066018 (2012)] was originally published online on 6 June 2012 with errors Table 2 on p. 4. The corrected table is reprinted below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Confocal screening result</th>
<th>Histology screening result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reviewer #1, Bar</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reviewer #2, S naveley</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, the text discussing this table contained errors in the specificity percentage values for the reviewed results. The final paragraph of Sec. 5 has been corrected to read:

Table 2 shows the results of the clinical review. The two reviewers (Bar and S naveley) evaluated all the confocal submosaics correctly except for two and three false positives, respectively. The calculated diagnostic value from Table 2 is 100% for sensitivity, and 92% and 88% for specificity for Bar and S naveley, respectively.

This article was corrected online on 14 February 2014.