

References

1. M. Denny, *Blip, Ping & Buss*, Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, MD (2007).
2. J. D. Houston and A. I. Carswell, “Four-component polarization measurement of lidar atmospheric scattering,” *Appl. Optics* **17**(4), pp. 614–620 (1978).
3. M. Griffen, “Complete Stokes parameterization of laser backscattering from artificial clouds,” M.S. thesis, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT (1983).
4. K. Sassen, “LiDAR backscatter depolarization techniques for cloud and aerosol research,” *Light Scattering by Nonspherical Particles: Theory, Measurements, and Geophysical Applications*, M. I. Mishchenko, J. W. Hovenier, and I. D. Travis (Eds.), Academic Press, San Diego, CA, p. 393–416 (2000).
5. W. C. Stone, M. Juberts, N. Dagalakis, J. Stone, and J. Gorman (Eds.), *Performance Analysis of Next-Generation LADAR for Manufacturing, Construction, and Mobility*, NISTIR 7117, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD (2004).
6. M. I. Skolnick (Ed.), *RADAR Handbook*, McGraw-Hill, New York (1970).
7. W. L. Stutzman and G. A. Thiele, *Antenna Theory and Design*, Wiley, New York (1981).
8. C. G. Bachman, *Laser RADAR Systems and Techniques*, Artech House, Inc., Dedham, MA (1979).
9. A. V. Jelalian, *Laser RADAR Systems*, Artech House, Inc., Boston, MA (1992).
10. M. Elbaum and R. C. Harney, “Relative merit of coherent vs noncoherent laser RADARs,” *Proc. SPIE* **300**, pp. 130–139 (1981).
11. R. D. Richmond, S. W. Henderson, and C. P. Hale, “Atmospheric effects on laser propagation: Comparisons at 2 and 10 microns,” *Proc. SPIE* **1633**, pp. 74–85 (1992).
12. HITRAN 2004 high-resolution transmission molecular absorption database, Ontar Corp., North Andover, MA (2004).

13. J. W. Goodman, *Statistical Optics*, Wiley, New York (1985).
14. S. Cain, R. Richmond, and E. Armstrong “Flash LADAR range accuracy limits for returns from single opaque surfaces via Cramer-Rao bounds,” *Appl. Optics* **45**(24), pp. 6154–6162 (2006).
15. B. P. Lahti, *Signal Processing & Linear Systems*, Oxford University Press, New York (1998).
16. J.W. Goodman, *Introduction to Fourier Optics*, McGraw-Hill, New York (1968).
17. A. Kolmogorov, “The local structure of turbulence in incompressible fluids for very large Reynolds numbers,” *Turbulence, Classic Papers on Statistical Theory*, S. K. Friedlander and L. Topper (Eds.), Wiley, New York pp. 151–161 (1961).
18. M. C. Roggemann and B. Welsh, *Imaging Through Turbulence*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL (1996).
19. S. C. Cain and M. M. Hayat, “Exploiting the temporal statistics of atmospheric tilt for improved short-exposure imaging,” *Technical Digest of the OSA/IEEE Signal Recovery and Synthesis and Integrated Computational Imaging Systems*, Albuquerque, NM, pp. 65–67 (2001).
20. H. L. van Trees, *Detection, Estimation and Modulation Theory*, Wiley, New York (1968).
21. R. M. Marino and W. R. Davis, Jr., “Jigsaw: A foliage-penetrating 3D imaging laser RADAR system,” *Lincoln Laboratory J.* **15**(1), pp. 23–26 (2005).
22. R. Stettner, H. Bailey, and R. D. Richmond, “Eye-safe laser RADAR 3D imaging,” *Proc. SPIE* **4377**, pp. 46–56 (2001).

Index

1D LADAR system, 117

absorption, 10

amplitude screen, 56

angular divergence, 9

angular step sizes, 117

atmospheric transmission, 10

atmospheric turbulence, 70

avalanche photodiode, 25

background, 19

bandwidth, 17

Bayes' theorem, 87

beam waist, 58

beamwidth, 5

Beer's Law, 10

bidirectional reflectance distribution

function (BRDF), 13

binary hypothesis, 85

binomial approximation, 78

block unit cell circuitry, 125

burst illumination LADAR (BIL),
118

central limit theorem, 70

conditional PMF, 86

convolution, 29

cross-correlation function, 109

cross-correlation range estimator,
111

cumulative distribution function, 22

dark current, 19

degrees of freedom, 18

detector, 14

DFT, 28

diffraction, 56

diffractive optical element (DOE),
123

direct-detection, 7

effective cutoff frequency, 50

far-field condition, 9

FLASH LADAR, 124

focal plane array, 127

Fourier transform, 27

framing rate, 117

free-space propagation, 58

frequency, 15

Fried's seeing parameter, 73

gain, 25

gamma function, 86

Gaussian, 30

Gaussian beam, 58

Gaussian pulse shape, 31

Geiger mode, 26

global translation, 128

heterodyne, 7

hybrid pulse model, 33

index of refraction, 70

InGaAs, 126

instantaneous field of view, 12

integrated circuit fabrication
technology, 126

integration time, 15

interpolated signal, 50

isoplanatic, 71

- Kolmogorov statistics, 77
- LADAR, 1
- LADAR equation, 8
- Lambertian, 13
- laser, 2
- laser RADAR processor (LRP), 125
- laser range-gated imager (LRGI), 118
- laser speckle, 17
- laser transmitter, 8
- leading-edge detectors, 112
- LiDAR, 1
- likelihood ratio test (LRT), 88
- matched-filter receiver, 111
- maximum a posteriori (MAP), 130
- negative binomial, 17
- negative parabolic pulse, 32
- noise equivalent photon (NEP), 90
- Nyquist criterion, 34
- Nyquist sampling theorem, 49
- optical bandpass filter, 19
- optics transmission, 14
- optimal test, 88
- peak estimator, 104
- phase screen, 56
- photo-current variance, 17
- photoelectrons, 15
- photomultiplier tube, 25
- photons, 15
- pixels, 16
- Planck's constant, 15
- point spread function, 76
- pointing inaccuracies, 122
- Poisson, 16
- polished surface, 11
- probability mass function, 85
- probability of detection, 92
- probability of false alarm, 94
- pulse repetition frequency (PRF), 117
- pulse timing errors, 122
- quantum efficiency, 14
- RADAR, 1
- range accuracy, 115
- range binning, 119
- range equation, 8
- range gate, 35
- Rayleigh scattering, 10
- Rayleigh-Sommerfeld diffraction integral, 57
- Rayleigh-Sommerfeld propagation, 57
- raytracing, 55
- receiver's field of view, 11
- rectangle function, 30
- reflected angle, 12
- resolution, 4
- short-exposure transfer function, 77
- signal-to-noise ration (SNR), 23
- single-point imaging system, 117
- solid angle, 13
- spatial effects, 55
- specular surfaces, 12
- structure function, 75
- sunlight, 19
- surface area, 11
- system clock frequency, 46
- target profile, 39
- target reflectance, 11
- thermal noise, 19
- threshold, 113
- tilt correlation, 74
- tilt structure function, 75
- tilted plane, 72
- time-of-flight, 15
- time-shift property, 29
- timeout feature, 111
- tracking, 129
- transmission loss, 10
- vacuum, 70

wavelength, 5

zero-padded, 50



Richard Richmond is currently the technical director focusing on the development and applications of laser radar technology at ITT/AES. Prior to his retirement from government civil service in February 2009, Mr. Richmond worked in the electro-optics technology division of the Air Force Research Laboratory. He was the team leader for laser radar technology in the multi-function electro-optics branch. Mr. Richmond has been the project engineer or program manager on numerous laser radar development and research efforts. Application areas of the various efforts have included both ground-based and airborne wind sensing, imaging and vibration sensing of hard targets, and remote chemical sensing. He has over 30 years of experience in the development and application of laser-based remote sensing.



Stephen Cain is currently an associate professor of electrical engineering at the Air Force Institute of Technology (AFIT) where he teaches courses in laser radar, image processing, and semiconductor devices. His research focus is in the area of LADAR signal and image processing as well as near-earth-orbiting asteroid detection methodology. He has also served as a senior engineer at ITT aerospace and communications division, a senior scientist at Wyle Laboratories, and a captain in the United States Air Force. He received his bachelor's degree in electrical engineering from Notre Dame, his master's degree in electrical engineering from Michigan Tech, and his Doctoral degree in electrical engineering from the University of Dayton.